arXiv:1407.4942v1 [math.CV] 18 Jul 2014

Volterrra-type Operators from analytic Morrey spaces to Bloch space*

Zhengyuan Zhuo Shanli Ye†
(*Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P. R. China*)

Abstract

In this note, we study the boundedness and compactness of integral operators I_g and T_g from analytic Morrey spaces to Bloch space. Furthermore, the norm and essential norm of those operators are given.

Keywords Analytic Morrey space; Bloch space; Volterra type operator; essential norm
2010 MR Subject Classification 47B38, 30H30, 30H99

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ denote respectively the open unit disc and the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and $dm(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dxdy$ the normalized area Lebesgue measure.

The aim of this paper is to characterize the boundedness and compactness of two Volterra type operators I_g and T_g from the analytic Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ to the classical Bloch space B, and from the little analytic Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ to the little Bloch space B_0. Also, wee estimate the essential norm of I_g and T_g.

Morrey space was initially introduced in 1938 by Morrey [20] to show that certain systems of partial differential equations (PDEs) had Hölder continuous solutions. In the past, *Morrey* space has been studied heavily in different areas. For example, Adams and Xiao studied *Morrey* spaces which is defined on Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$ by potential theory and Hausdorff capacity in [3, 4]. But here we will be mostly interested in the analytic *Morrey* spaces $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ in the unit disk. It was introduced and studied by Wu and Xie in [29].

For an arc $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, let $|I| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_I |d\zeta|$ be the normalized arc length of I,

$$f_I = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I f(\zeta)\frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}, f \in H(\mathbb{D}),$$

and $S(I)$ be the Carleson box based on I with

$$S(I) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - |I| \leq |z| < 1, \frac{z}{|z|} \in I\}.$$

Cleatly, if $I = \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $S(I) = \mathbb{D}$.

*The article was partially supported by Special Fund of Colleges and Universities in Fujian Province (No: JK2012010) and Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No:2009J01004), China.

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: shanliye@fjnu.edu.cn

Denote $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ the analytic *Morrey* spaces of all analytic functions $f \in H^2$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_I |f(\zeta)-f_I|^2\frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}\big)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where $0<\lambda\le 1$ and the Hardy space H^2 consists of analytic functions f in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0<r<1}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}|f(re^{i\theta})|^2\,d\theta<\infty.$$

Similarly to the relation between $BMOA$ space and $VMOA$ space, we have that $f\in\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, the little *Morrey* spaces, if $f\in\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$\lim_{|I|\to 0} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_I |f(\zeta)-f_I|^2\frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}\big)^{1/2} = 0.$$

Xiao and Xu [33] studied the composition operators of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ spaces. Cascante, Fàbrega and Ortega [12] studied the Corona theorem of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$. It is a useful tools for the study of harmonic analysis and partial differential equations, We refer the readers to [20, 23, 37].

The following lemma gives some equivalent conditions of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ (see Theorem 3.21 of [32] or Theorem 3.1 of [34]).

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose that $0<\lambda<1$ and $f\in H(\mathbb{D})$. Let $a\in\mathbb{D}$, $\varphi_a(z)=\frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $f\in\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$;

(ii) $\sup\limits_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}}\dfrac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\displaystyle\int_{S(I)}|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)<\infty$;

(iii) $\sup\limits_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)<\infty$.

From the lemma above, we can define the norm of function $f\in\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and equivalent formula as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &= |f(0)|+\sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}}\big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)}|f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dm(z)\big)^{1/2}\\ &\approx |f(0)|+\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)\big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\mathcal{L}^{2,1}(\mathbb{D})=BMOA$ and if $0<\lambda<1$, $BMOA\subsetneq\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. For more information on $BMOA$ and $VMOA$, see [15].

A function f analytic on the unit disk is said to belong to the *Bloch* space B if

$$\|f\|_B=\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\{(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)|\}<\infty,$$

and to the little *Bloch* space B_0 if $f\in B$ and

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1^-}(1-|z|^2)|f'(z)|=0.$$

It is well known that B is a Banach space under the norm $|||f|||_B=|f(0)|+\|f\|_B$ and B_0 is a closed subspace of B. See [5]. By [8, 31], together with Lemma 2.1 in [7], we have the following equivalent statements about the norm of $f\in B$.

Proposition 1.1 *For all $p \in (1, \infty)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |||f|||_B &\approx |f(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\approx |f(0)| + \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^p} \int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $g : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic map. The integral operator T_g, called Volterra-type operator, is defined as

$$T_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(w) g'(w) dw, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

In [22] Pommerenke introduced the operator T_g and showed that T_g is a bounded operator on the Hardy space H^2 if and only if $g \in BMOA$.

The companion integral operator I_g is spontaneously defined as

$$I_g f(z) = \int_0^z f'(w) g(w) dw, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

The boundedness, compactness or essential norm of T_g and I_g between spaces of analytic functions were investigated by many authors. Aleman and Siskakis in [1] studied the integral operator T_g on the Bergman space, and then Aleman considered with Cima T_g acting on the Hardy space in [2]. Siskakis and Zhao [24] also investigated T_g on the space $BMOA$. T_g on the Q_p space was studied by Xiao in [30] . Li and Stević in [19] studied the boundedness and compactness of T_g and I_g on the *Zygmund* Spaces and the little *Zygmund* spaces. Cinstantin in [13] considered the boundedness and compactness of T_g on *Fock* spaces. Ye in [35] studied products of Volterra-type operators and composition operators on logarithmic *Bloch* space. Ye and Gao in [36] gave the boundedness and compactness of T_g between different weighted *Bloch* spaces.

There are some articles about the integral operator acting on *Morrey* space. For example, Wu in [28] considered T_g from Hardy to analytic *Morrey* spaces, Li, Liu and Lou [18] characterized the boundedness and essential norm of T_g and I_g on analytic *Morrey* spaces (see also the related references therein).

Now, we need two spaces. Let $\alpha > -1$. Recall that $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to the weighted space H^∞_α if it satisfies with

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)| < \infty.$$

When $\alpha > -1$, H^∞_α endowed with the norm $\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)|$ is a Banach space. This space is connected with the study of growth conditions of analytic functions and was also studied in detail , see [9, 10, 25, 26]. The space H^∞_α is used in the characterizations of the boundedness and essential norm of I_g. Then we conclude the boundedness and essential norm of T_g by introducing the following $Bloch - Morrey$ type space.

Definition 1.1 *Let $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $p > 1$. The $Bloch - Morrey$ type space $B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ is the set of all $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that*

$$M(g) = \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^{p-\lambda+1}} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The corresponding subspace $B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, the little Bloch − Morrey type space, can be defined as

$$B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda} = \{g \in B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}, \quad \lim_{|I|\to 0} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^{p-\lambda+1}} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2} = 0\}.$$

It is easy to prove that $B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|g\|_{B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}} = |g(0)| + M(g).$$

Clearly, $B\mathcal{L}^{p,1} = Bloch$. From [7], we know that $\|g\|_{B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}}$ is comparable with the norm

$$|g(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2})^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2}.$$

Notations: For two functions F and G, if there is a constant $C > 0$ dependent only on indexes p, λ... such that $F \le CG$, then we say that $F \lesssim G$. Furthermore, denote that $F \approx G$ (F is comparable with G) whenever $F \lesssim G \lesssim F$.

2 $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ vs B

Evidently, when $0 < \lambda < 1$, $BMOA \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. On the other hand $BMOA \subsetneq B$. Does $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ and B have the inclusion relation ? We claim the answer is negative by the following two proposition. This makes our job more signality.

Proposition 2.1 $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \not\subseteq B$.

Proof Considering $g(z) = (\log \frac{1}{1-z})^2$, which is obviously not a *Bloch* function. We claim that $g(z) \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2(1-|\rho_a(z)|^2)dm(z) \\
&\quad\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\frac{1}{1-z}|^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{1-|z|}(1-|\rho_a(z)|^2)dm(z) \\
&\quad\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\frac{1}{1-z}|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{1-\lambda} \log^2 \frac{1}{1-|z|} dm(z) \\
&\quad= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} |\frac{1}{1-re^{i\theta}}|^2 d\theta (1-r^2)^{1-\lambda} \log^2 \frac{1}{1-r} dr \\
&\quad= \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{-\lambda} \log^2 \frac{1}{1-r} dr < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This finish the proof.

Conversely, the function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n}$ is a *Bloch* function (see [6]) and it is well known that it has a radial limit almost nowhere. Consequently, f does not belong to any of the *Hardy* spaces and so $f \notin \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$. So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 $B \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$.

3 Boundedness of I_g and T_g from $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ to B

In this section, we prove the boundedness and estimate the norms of I_g and T_g. The following lemmas will be used through this paper.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{D}$. We set functions $f_b(z)$ and $F_b(z)$ as*

$$f_b(z) = (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}(\rho_b(z) - b), \quad F_b(z) = (1-|b|^2)(1-\overline{b}z)^{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}}.$$

then $f_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and $F_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. Particularly, we have $f_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and $F_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, $\|f_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$, $\|F_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$.

Proof See Lemma 4 in [18]. From its proof, we futher deduce that $f_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and $F_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

we get a result about the growth rate of functions in $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ from [18].

Lemma 3.2 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. then*

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We first consider the boundedness of $I_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $I_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded if and only if $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$. Moreover the operator norm satisfies*

$$\|I_g\| \approx \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Proof For $0 < \lambda < 1$, $1 < 2 - \lambda$, we set $B = Q_{2-\lambda}$.

Sufficiency: let $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_g f\|_B &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{2-\lambda} dm(z) \Big)^{1/2} \\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2) |g(z)|^2 (\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2})^{1-\lambda} dm(z) \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} \cdot \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}.
\end{aligned}$$

These inequalities imply I_g is bounded and $\|I_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$.

Necessity: let I_g is bounded. For any $b \in \mathbb{D}$, considering functions $f_b(z)$ in Lemma 3.1, we

have $\|f_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_g\| &\gtrsim \|I_g f_b\|_B \\
&\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_b'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{2-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|b|^2)^{1+\lambda}}{|1-\overline{b}z|^4} |g(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{1+1-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\geqslant \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|b|^2)^2}{|1-\overline{b}z|^4} |g(z)|^2 (\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\overline{b}z|^2})^{1-\lambda} (1-|\rho_b(z)|^2) dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \qquad (3.1) \\
&= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\rho_b'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\overline{b}z|^2})^{1-\lambda} (1-|\rho_b(z)|^2) dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\rho_b(w))|^2 (\frac{1-|\rho_b(w)|^2}{|1-\overline{b}\rho_b(w)|^2})^{1-\lambda} (1-|w|^2) dm(w)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\gtrsim |\frac{g(b)}{(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.12 of [38] in the last inequality. Since b is arbitrary, we have $\|I_g\| \gtrsim \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$. The proof is finished.

With the space $B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$, we can establish the boundedness of $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded;

(ii) $g \in B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ for all $p \in (1,\infty)$;

(iii) $g \in B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ for some $p \in (1,\infty)$.

Moreover,

$$\|T_g\| \approx M(g).$$

Proof $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Suppose that $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded. For any $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, let $b = (1-|I|)\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, where ζ is the centre of I. Then

$$(1-|b|^2) \approx |1-\overline{b}z| \approx |I|, \quad z \in S(I).$$

Considering the functions $F_b(z)$ in Lemma 3.1, $\|F_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$. This together with Proposition 1.1, we obtain that for any $p \in (1,\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|I|^{p-\lambda+1}} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z) &\approx \frac{1}{|I|^p} \int_{S(I)} |F_b(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z) \\
&\lesssim \|T_g F_b\|_B^2 \\
&\leq \|T_g\|^2 \|F_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|T_g\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since I is arbitrary, we have $M(g) \lesssim \|T_g\|$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. It is obvious.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Suppose that fixed $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $M(g) < \infty$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and any $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, from Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_g f\|_B^2 &\approx \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|^p}\int_{S(I)} |f(z)|^2|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \cdot \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|^p}\int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

To the end, for a given subarc I of $\partial\mathbb{D}$, let $\mathcal{D}_n(I)$ represent the set of 2^n subarcs of length $2^{-n}|I|$ obtained by n successive bipartition of I. For each $J \in \mathcal{D}_n(I)$ write $T(J)$ for the top half Carleson box of $S(J)$, i.e.,

$$T(J) = \{z \in S(J) : \frac{z}{|z|} \in J, 1-|J| < |z| < 1 - \frac{|J|}{2}\}.$$

Then

$$S(I) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} T(J).$$

Noting that $z \in T(J)$, $1-|z| \approx |J|$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} \int_{T(J)} |g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z) \\ &\approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} \int_{T(J)} |J|^{\lambda-1}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p} dm(z) \\ &\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} \int_{S(J)} |J|^{\lambda-1}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{p} dm(z) \\ &\le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} M(g)^2|J|^{\lambda-1}|J|^{p-\lambda+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n M(g)^2|J|^p \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^n)^{1-p} M(g)^2|I|^p \\ &\lesssim M(g)^2|I|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now invoking (3.2),

$$\|T_g f\|_B \lesssim M(g)\cdot\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}$$

As a result, $\|T_g\| \lesssim M(g)$.

Theorem 3.2 has an interesting consequence.

Corollary 3.1 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $1 < p < q < \infty$. Then $B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda} = B\mathcal{L}^{q,\lambda}$.*

4 Essential norm of I_g and T_g from $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ to B

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. The essential norm of a bounded operator $T : X \to Y$,$\|T\|_{e,X\to Y}$, is defined as the distance from T to the space of compact operators,

$$\|T\|_{e,X\to Y} = inf\{\|T - K\|_{X\to Y} : \quad K \text{ is any compact operator}\},$$

where the norm of T is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{X\to Y}$.

Since that T is compact if and only if $\|T\|_{e,X\to Y} = 0$, then the estimation of $\|T\|_{e,X\to Y}$ indicates the condition for T to be compact. For some recent results related to the essential norm , see [16, 17, 27, 18]. and the references therein.

In this section, we estimate the essential norm of I_g and T_g from $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ to B. We need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$. For $0 < t < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $f_t(z) = f(tz)$. If $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, then $f_t \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}$.*

Proof If $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < t < 1$, then, f_t is analytic on the closed unit disk $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. A simple computation shows that $f_t \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. In addition, by Poisson formula, we have

$$f_t(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(ze^{i\theta})\frac{1-t^2}{|e^{i\theta}-t|^2}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f_t'(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)\\
&\quad\le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_0^{2\pi}|f'(ze^{i\theta})|^2\frac{1-t^2}{|e^{i\theta}-t|^2}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)\\
&\quad= \int_0^{2\pi}\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(ze^{i\theta})|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)\frac{1-t^2}{|e^{i\theta}-t|^2}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\\
&\quad\le \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z)\cdot\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1-t^2}{|e^{i\theta}-t|^2}\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\\
&\quad= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f'(z)|^2(1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)dm(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}$.

By Lemma 3.2 and standard arguments(see, e.g., [11],Proposition 3.11), the following lemma follows.

Lemma 4.2 *Assume that g is an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$. Then $T_g(or I_g) : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is compact if and only if $T_g(or I_g) : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded, and for any bounded sequence $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ which converges to zero uniformly on $\mathbb{D}$ as $k \to \infty$, $\|T_g f_k\|_B \to 0 (or\ \|I_g f_k\|_B \to 0)$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose that $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $p > 1$. For $g \in B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$, define the following operators $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$:*

$$T_{g,r}f(z) = \int_0^z f(rw)g'(w)dw.$$

where $r \in (0, 1)$. Then $T_{g,r}$ is compact.

Proof Let $\{f_n\}$ be such that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \leq 1$ and $f_n \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$. We are required to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{g,r} f_n\|_B = 0$. In fact, Since $\|g\|_B \lesssim M(g)$, we have $|g'(z)| \lesssim \frac{M(g)}{1-|z|^2}$. From $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \leq 1$ and Lemma 3.2 , it yields that $(1-|r|^2)^{(1-\lambda)/2}|f_n(rz)| \lesssim 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{g,r} f_n\|_B &= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)|f_n(rz)||g'(z)| \\ &\lesssim M(g) \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{(1-\lambda)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, by Dominated Convergence Theorem one reaches $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{g_r} f_n\|_B = 0$.

Now, we present the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. If $I_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded, then*

$$\|I_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} \approx \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Proof Choose the zero operator $O : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B : f \mapsto 0$. Since O is compact and $\|O\| = 0$, we get

$$\|I_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} = \inf_K \|I_g - K\| \leq \|I_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Conversely, choose the sequence $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $|b_n| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Considering the sequence of functions $f_n(z) = (1-|b_n|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}(\rho_{b_n}(z) - b_n)$, we obtain $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$ by Lemma 3.1. By easy calculation, $f_n(z) = -(1-|b_n|^2)^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}} \int_0^z \frac{dw}{(1-\overline{b_n}z)^2}$, and thus f_n converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\|Kf_n\|_B \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any compact operator K. So

$$\|I_g - K\| \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(I_g - K)f_n\|_B \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} (\|I_g f_n\|_B - \|Kf_n\|_B) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|I_g f_n\|_B.$$

By (3.1), we have

$$\|I_g - K\| \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} |\frac{g(b_n)}{(1-|b_n|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}|.$$

The arbitrary choice of the sequence $\{b_n\}$ implies

$$\|I_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} \gtrsim \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. If $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded, then*

$$\|T_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} \approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2})^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z) \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Proof For any $r_n \in (0,1)$ such that $r_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we introduce $T_{g,r_n} : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ which is

compact. Let $s \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} \\
&\quad\le \|T_g - T_{g,r_n}\| \\
&\quad\approx \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}=1} \|T_g - T_{g,r_n}\|_B \\
&\quad= \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}=1} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)-f(r_n z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{p+1-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad\le \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}=1} \sup_{|a|\le s} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)-f(r_n z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{p+1-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad+ \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}=1} \sup_{|a|> s} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)-f(r_n z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{p+1-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad\le \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}=1} \sup_{|a|\le s} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)-f(r_n z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{p+1-\lambda} dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad+ 2\sup_{|a|>s} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2}\Big)^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad\triangleq K_1 + K_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|a| \le s$ is a closed set of $\mathbb{D}$ and $g \in B\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$, the Dominated Convergence Therorem yields $K_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Now, letting $n \to \infty$ and then letting $s \to 1$, we get

$$\|T_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} \lesssim \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2}\Big)^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Conversely, Let $I_n \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $|I_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. ζ_n is the center of arc I and $b_n = (1-|I_n|)\zeta$, so

$$(1-|b_n|^2) \approx |1-\overline{b_n}z| \approx |I_n|, \quad z \in S(I_n).$$

Consider the function $F_n(z) = (1-|b_n|^2)(1-\overline{b_n}z)^{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}}$. Then $\|F_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$ and $F_n \to 0$ uniformly on the compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$ by Lemma 3.1 . Thus $\|KF_n\|_B \to 0$ for any compact operator K. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_g - K\| \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(T_g-K)F_n\|_B &\ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} (\|T_g F_n\|_B - \|KF_n\|_B) \ge \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|T_g f_n\|_B \\
&\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|^p} \int_{S(I_n)} |F_n(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\approx \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|^{p+1-\lambda}} \int_{S(I_n)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{I_n\}$ is arbitrary, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}\to B} &\gtrsim \limsup_{|I|\to 0} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|^{p+1-\lambda}} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2}\Big)^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

We have the following corollary about their compactness.

Corollary 4.1 *Suppose that* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $p > 1$. *Then*
(i) $I_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ *is compact if and only if* $g = 0$.
(ii) $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ *is compact if and only if* $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$.

5 Boundedness and essential norm of I_g and T_g from $\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ to B_0

Theorem 5.1 *Let* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then* $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ *is bounded if and only if* $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$. *Moreover,*

$$\|I_g\| \approx \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Proof Necessity: assume that $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is bounded. Then it is clear that $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded. The necessity of Theorem 3.1, together with $f_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, proves $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$.

Sufficiency: let $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$. Then from Theorem 3.1, $I_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded and hence $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded. It suffices to prove that for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $I_g f \in B_0$. In fact, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{|a|\to 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2)^{2-\lambda} dm(z) \\
= & \lim_{|a|\to 1} (1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2) |g(z)|^2 (\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2})^{1-\lambda} dm(z) \\
\leq & \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} \cdot \lim_{|a|\to 1} (1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 (1-|\varphi_a(z)|^2) dm(z) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is bounded.

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose that* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *If* $I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ *is bounded, then*

$$\|I_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}\to B_0} \approx \|g\|_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}.$$

Proof As a matter of fact, if $g \in H^\infty_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $I_g f \in B_0$, Since $f_n(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ (see Theorem 4.1), we complete the proof as the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 .

Theorem 5.3 *Suppose that* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then the following conditions are equivalent.*
(i) $T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ *is bounded;*
(ii) $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$ *for all* $p \in (1,\infty)$*;*
(iii) $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$ *for some* $p \in (1,\infty)$.
Moreover,

$$\|T_g\| \approx M(g).$$

Proof $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Suppose that T_g is bounded. For any $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, let $b = (1-|I|)\zeta$, where ζ is the centre of I. Then

$$(1-|b|^2) \approx |1-\overline{b}z| \approx |I|, \quad z \in S(I).$$

Concerning the functions $F_b(z)$ in Lemma 3.1, we have $F_b(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, thus $T_g(F_b(z)) \in B_0$, Furthermore, from Proposition 1.1 it yields $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. It is obvious.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Let fix $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$. Then from Theorem 3.2, $T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded and hence $T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is bounded. It suffices to prove that for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $T_g f \in B_0$. Indeed, $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an integer $\delta > 0$ such that as $|J| < \delta$,

$$\frac{1}{|J|^{p-\lambda+1}} \int_{S(J)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z) < \varepsilon.$$

With the above δ, for any $|I|$ satisfying $|I| < \delta$, we break up $S(I)$ in the same way in Theorem 3.2, then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z) &\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} \int_{S(J)} |J|^{\lambda-1} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{J\in\mathcal{D}_n(I)} \varepsilon |J|^{\lambda-1} |J|^{p-\lambda+1} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon |I|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$\lim_{|I|\to 0} \frac{1}{|I|^p} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z) = 0.$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{|I|\to 0} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^p} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2} \\
&\quad\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \cdot \lim_{|I|\to 0} \big(\frac{1}{|I|^p} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{p+\lambda-1} dm(z)\big)^{1/2} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, $T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is bounded.

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose that $0 < \lambda < 1$, $1 < p$ and $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, the operator $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ satisfies*

$$T_{g,r} f(z) = \int_0^z f(rw) g'(w) dw.$$

where $r \in (0,1)$. Then $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is compact.

Proof Since $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is compact and hence $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B$ is compact. As a matter of fact in Theorem 5.3, if $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $T_g f \in B_0$, together with Lemma 4.1 , we conclude $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $T_{g,r} f \in B_0$, so that $T_{g,r} : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is compact.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. If $T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is bounded, then*

$$\|T_g\|_{e,\mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}\to B_0} \approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2})^{p+1-\lambda} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^p dm(z)\big)^{1/2}.$$

Proof Based on the fact that if $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$, $T_g f \in B_0$, together with $F_n(z) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda}$ and the compact operator $T_{g,r}$ in Lemma 5.1, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2, we obtain the desired result.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 5.1 *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $p > 1$. Then*
(i)$I_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is compact if and only if $g = 0$.
(ii)$T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is compact if and only if $T_g : \mathcal{L}_0^{2,\lambda} \to B_0$ is bounded if and only if $g \in B\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\lambda}$.

References

[1] A. Aleman and A.G. Siskakis, Integration operators on Bergman spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997) 337-356.

[2] A. Aleman and J.A. Cima, An integral operator on H^p and Hardy's inequality, J. Anal. Math.85 (2001) 157-176.

[3] D. Adams and J. Xiao, Nonlinear potential analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004) 1629-1663.

[4] D. Adams and J. Xiao, Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis, Ark. Mat.50 (2012) 201-230.

[5] J.M. Anderson, Bloch functions: The basic theory, Operators and Funciton Theory, Math. Phys. Sci.153 (1985) 1-17.

[6] J.M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, On Bloch functions and normal functions, J. r eine Angew. Math.270 (1974) 12-37.

[7] R. Aulaskari, D.A. Stegenga and J. Xiao, Some subclasses of BMOA and their characterizations in terms of Carleson measures, Rocky. Mt. J. Math. 26 (1996) 485-506.

[8] R. Aulaskari and P. Lappan, Criteria for an analytic function to be Bloch and a harmonic or meromorphic function to be normal, In: Complex Analysis and Its Applications, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics,305 (1994) 136-146.

[9] K.D. Bierstedt and W.H.Summers, Biduals of weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc.(Series A), 54 (1993) 70-79.

[10] K.D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet and A. Galbis, Weighted spaces of holomorphic functions on bounded domains, Michigan Math. J. 40 (1993) 271-297.

[11] C. Cowen and D. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1995).

[12] C. Cascante, J. Fàbrega and J. M. Ortega, The Corona theorem in weighted Hardy and Morrey spaces, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) DOI 10.2422/2036-2145.201202 006.

[13] O. Constantin, A Volterra-type integration operator on Fock spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012) 4247-4257.

[14] P. Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Academic Press, New York, (1970).

[15] D. Girela, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, Complex Function Spaces (Mekrijarvi, 1999), 61-170, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Rep. Ser., 4, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu, 2001.

[16] J. Laitila, S. Miihkinen and P. Nieminen, Essential norms and weak compactness of integration operators, Arch. Math. 97 (2011) 39-48.

[17] J. Liu, Z. Lou and C. Xiong, Essential norms of integral operators on spaces of analytic functions, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012) 5145-5156.

[18] P.T. Li, J.M. Liu and Z.J. Lou, Integral operators on analytic Morrey spaces, Sci China Math. http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.2575

[19] S. Li and S. Stević, Volterra-type operators on Zygmund spaces, J. Ineq. Appl. Article ID 32124, (2007) 10 pages

[20] C.B. Morrey Jr., On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 126-166.

[21] K. Madigan and A. Matheson, Compact composition operators on the Bloch space. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995) 2679-2687.

[22] Ch. Pommerenke, Schlichte Funktionen und analytische Funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation, Comment.Math. Helvetici. 52 (1977) 591-602.

[23] J. Peetre, On the theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1964) 71-87.

[24] A. Siskakis and R. Zhao, A Volterra type operator on spaces of analytic functions, Contemporary Mathematics. 232 (1999) 299-311.

[25] A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 299 (1978) 256-279.

[26] A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections and the growth of harmonic conjugates in the disk, Michigan Math. J.29 (1982) 3-25.

[27] J. Shapiro, The essential norm of a composition operator, Ann. Math. 125 (1987) 375-404.

[28] Z. Wu, A new characterization for Carleson measure and some applications, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 71 (2011) 161-180.

[29] Z. Wu and C. Xie, Q spaces and Morrey spaces, J. Funct. Anal, 297 (2003) 282-297.

[30] J. Xiao, The Q_p Carleson measure problem, Adv. Math. 217 (2008) 2075-2088.

[31] J. Xiao, Holomorphic Q classes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 1767 (2001).

[32] J. Xiao, Geometric Qp Functions, Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäauser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[33] J. Xiao and W. Xu, Composition operators between analytic Campanato spaces, J. Geom. Anal, Doi 10.1007/s12220-012-9349-6.

[34] H. Wulan and J. Zhou, QK and Morrey type spaces. Ann Acad Sci Fenn Math. 38 (2013) 193-207.

[35] S. Ye, Products of Volterra-type operators and composition operators on logarithmic Bloch space, WSEAS Trans. Math. 12 (2013) 180-188.

[36] S. Ye and J. Gao, Extended Cesáro Operators Between Diferent Weighted Blochtype Spaces, Acta Math. Sci. (Series A), 28 (2008) 349-358.(In Chinese).

[37] C.T. Zorko, Morrey space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1986) 586-592.

[38] K. Zhu, Operator theorey in function spaces, Senond edition, Mathematical surveys and Monographs 138 (2007).